



Water Recycling Toolbox Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use Bornholm's Energy & Utility Co. A/S



Real-world pilot replication blueprint





Introduction to the pilot measure Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use Bornholm's Energy & Utility Co. A/S



15 March 2023

Program

Local water management situation

Pilot: Reuse of WWTP effluent for agricultural irrigation



- Heavy rainfall overflow of sewage system and nutrient outflow to the Baltic sea
- Draughts impacting the agriculture and groundwater formation
- Tourist season puts a pressure on the wastewater treatment plants and drinking water supply
- Challenges with drinking water quality organic micropollutants



- Low-tech water filter for purification of WWTP effluent
- Filter consisting of sand, straw (or wood chip) and lime
- Water quality monitored to investigate if the water is suited for agricultural irrigation (Regulation (EU) 2020/741)
- Idea stems from a similar filter built on Bornholm in the 1990ies





REUSE OF WWTP EFFLUENT FOR AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION



- Anaerobic filter treatment process
 - Denitrification: straw acts as C-source for the process, lime will prevent the water from getting too acid
 - P and organic micropollutants expected to settle with the suspended solids on top of the filter
 - Bacterial degradation expected
- Anaerobic filter size
 - Preliminary area: 250 m²
 - Velocity of water: 5-10 cm/hours (to be determined)
 - Treatment capacity: to be determined (hydraulic conductivity)





- Water quality monitoring (tentative list)
 - Nutrients (N and P)
 - Microorganic pollutants
 - Physical-chemical parameters
 - Parameters according to EU Regulation 2020/741, class D, se table below

Reclaimed water quality class		Quality requirements							
	Indicative technology target	E. coli (number/100 ml)	BOD ₅ (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	Turbidity (NTU)	Other			
А	Secondary treatment, filtration, and disinfection	≤ 10	≤ 10	≤ 10	≤ 5	Legionella spp.: < 1 000 cfu/l where there is a risk of aerosolisation			
В	Secondary treatment, and disinfection	≤ 100	In accordance with	In accordance with	-	Intestinal nematodes (helminth eggs): ≤ egg/l for irrigation of pastures or forage			
С	Secondary treatment, and disinfection	≤ 1 000	Directive 91/271/EEC	Directive 91/271/EEC	-				
D	Secondary treatment, and disinfection	≤ 10 000	(Annex I, Table 1)	(Annex I, Table 1)	-				



REUSE OF WWTP EFFLUENT FOR AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION





REUSE OF WWTP EFFLUENT FOR AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION

Picture taken in February 2023



Visualization of the filter





REUSE OF WWTP EFFLUENT FOR AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION



Any ideas and inputs are welcome!

- Does someone have experience with this type of filter/bioreactor? (Denitrification, formation of dinitrogenoxide etc.)
- Regulation (EU) 2020/741 (Reuse of water for irrigation)



Thanks!

Sara and Daniel can be contacted at <u>sb@beof.dk</u> and <u>dsl@beof.dk</u>

We are looking forward to the collaboration!







1st Peer-review session

Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use Bornholm's Energy & Utility Co. A/S



WaterMan – Pilot status



WaterMan Status – 06-09-2023

WATERMAN PILOT STATUS

- Agenda
 - Reminder
 - New situation
 - Monitoring of source water
 - Stakeholders
 - Risk assessment & monitoring
 - Work done since the kick-off (literature review and experimental work)
 - Future plans



REMINDER – GOALS, LOCAL CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES



Original plan:

- Full-scale low-tech media filter consisting of sand, straw (or wood chip) and lime for purification of WWTP effluent (slow sand filter)
- Idea based on similar filter built on Bornholm in the 1990ies
- Intended use for both reuse (irrigation of farmlands) and as a method to reduce nutrients to the Baltic sea at periods when irrigation is not needed



REMINDER – WATER REUSE SCHEME AND LEGAL REQUIREMENTS



RUDNHUI WS

 Local farmer who is interested in using the filtered WWTP-water for irrigation of plants grown for spinach seeds

 Class D water would be required for this use (EU 2020/741), plus potentially requirements regarding e.g. organic pollutants

Reclaimed water quality class		Quality requirements							
	Indicative technology target	E. coli (number/100 ml)	BOD ₅ (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	Turbidity (NTU)	Other			
А	Secondary treatment, filtration, and disinfection	≤ 10	≤ 10	≤ 10	≤ 5	<i>Legionella</i> spp.: < 1 000 cfu/l where there is a risk of aerosolisation			
В	Secondary treatment, and disinfection	≤ 100	In accordance with	In accordance with	-	egg/l for irrigation of pastures or forage			
С	Secondary treatment, and disinfection	≤ 1 000	Directive 91/ 25 /EEC	Disective 91/ 3 /5/EEC	-				
D	Secondary treatment, and disinfection	≤ 10 000	(Annex I, Table 1)	(Annex I, Table 1)	-				

Table 2 - Reclaimed water quality requirements for agricultural irrigation

EU 2020/741 – <u>EUR-Lex - 32020R0741 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)</u> Direcitve 91/27 EEC – <u>EUR-Lex - 01991L0271-20140101 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)</u>

NEW SITUATION – CHANGE OF PLANS



BORNHOLMS

EU 2020/741 will not be used in DK

We will conduct a feasibility study:

- Testing the filter in small scale
- Assessment of water quality and quantity, operation of the filter, the economy and the business case
- Results used for dialog with the Environmental Ministry about the future of the regulation in DK



Furthermore we will only focus on the reuse case, omitting the nutrient reduction (straw and lime), as this was a legacy from the seed project which was to a start focusing on nutrient reduction, and as the filter is now down-scaled.

STAKEHOLDERS

- Environmental Ministry
- Local farmer
- The local farmers association (Bornholms Landbrug of Fødevarer)
- BEOF's department of wastewater operation
 - operational requirements as little contact with wastewater and manual work as possible when/if filter needs to be cleaned



RISK ASSESSMENT & MANAGEMENT

On our To-Do-list!

We have had a brief discussion on the topic, started identifying hazards, risks, exposure scenarios, risk measures and tools/methods

Will be done by the project team



MONITORING OF SOURCE WATER

 Regular monitoring of WWTP-effluent, flow proportional 24h



Batchkommentar

Analyser er udført på prøve der er modtaget frosne.

- One E. Coli/ Total coliform measurement of WWTP-effluent, grab sample in May
 - E. Coli: approx. 27.000 CFU/100 ml
 - Total Coliform: approx. 271.700 CFU/100 ml



SLOW SAND FILTER (SSF) LITERATURE REVIEW – WASTEWATER APPLICATIONS

- SSF widely used for drinking water applications first installation in London in 1829
- Sand filters are also applied for wastewater applications, but primarily <u>rapid sand filters</u> (<u>RSF</u>) are used.
- Experience with SSF and wastewater are mainly from pilot scale tests
- Pilot studies on wastewater show efficient removal of TSS and E. coli: Effluent: TSS 1.2–2.3 mg/l and <100 E. coli / 100 ml
- Simple configuration with very low energy usage
- No pumping if landscape allows for it.
- Modular solution
- No back washing

Attention to:

- Highly complex biological processes (Schmutzdecke)
- Requires scraping of surface at regular intervals (to remove Schmutzdecke)
- Requires a lot of land (surface area) to achieve high outputs due to low flow rates
- Storage
- Disinfection downstream (if required)







OUR TECHNOLOGY: SLOW SAND FILTER AS TERTIARY TREATMENT OF WASTEWATER

Slow sand filter (SSF)



•This is a schematic from the 1974 World Health Organization (WHO) "Slow Sand Filtration" design manual (Huisman & Wood, 1974. pg 18).



Testing the hydraulic capacity of different sands





 Δh = distance between height of outlet and overflow (m)



Hydraulic capacity of sand



 Sand for test columns selected on basis of the Danish Environmental Protection Agency's recommended grain size distribution profile for biological sand filters.



 Sand from local gravel mine on Bornholm. Sand is processed (separated by particle size and washed)



Results hydraulic capacity



- Test indicate no change in K values over time = no clogging of the sand filter
- We will later deicide what type of sand to use for the feasibility study
- Aiming for a traditional SSF
 – filter flow 5-10 cm/hours
 Preliminary data calculated:
 - = equal to permeate flow of 1,2-2,4 m3/m2/day
 - = After Schmutzdecke built up: 0,2 m3/m2/day
- => 5 m2 filter area to produce 1m3 water/day (with Schmutzdecke)

Observations hydraulic capacity – the Schmutzdecke



- Reduces the flow significantly
- Needs to be removed from time to time, due to it acting as plug reducing the flow
- Schmutzdecke is removed by scraping top layer of sand after draining the filter



- The microbial biodegradation is taking place mostly in the Schmutzdecke
- We need the Schmutzdecke!
- Compromise between water quality and quantity





Literature study – SSF and Schmutzdecke



- Schmutzdecke is complex!
- Is composed of algae, bacteria and protozoans
- Temperature critical for good activity; minimum 10-15 °C
- Oxygen, water quality etc.
- We can't control these processes...

Water | Free Full-Text | Removal of Pathogens in Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems: A Review of Design Considerations and Influencing Factors (mdpi.com)



Add disinfection to stabilize the water quality?



Feasibility study-preliminary design

Design and materials for experimental setup

- Feed pump:
- Tank:
- Sand layer:
- Drain layer:
- Drain pipes:
- Submersible pump Plastic, size 5-10m2 100cm 20cm (crushed granite)
 - Plastic, perforated







Location of sand filter

- Svaneke WWTP: Original location, but WWTP consists only of biological treatment, and no clarification tank.
- Rønne WWTP: Alternative location, Rønne WWTP has a traditional setup consisting of biological treatment + clarification step.



PLANS

To-do – Phase 1

- Continue tests with the test columns ("Schmutzdecke", E. Coli, hydraulic capacity)
- New plans
- New design/ technical drawings
- New budget
- Monitoring plan
- Risk assessment & management

To-do – Phase 2

- Construction of the filter
- To-do Phase 3
 - Test phase (water quality and quantity, filter operation)
 - Reporting the results



PRELIMINARY PLANS

		2023			2024			2025					
Activity		Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Comment
Litterature study					┶┶┶ ┯┯┯	┶╺┷╺┙╺ ┍╴┍╴┍╴┍			╷╼╷╾╵╾	_'_'_'_ -'-'-			Ongoing proces
New plan!													New situation – new plan
Testing with test columns													 Schmutzdecke E. Coli Hydraulic capacity
Design/ technical drawings				╵┙┙┙ ┍╴┍╴┍	4								New situation
Budgeting				┙┙┙╸ ┍╴┍╴┍	4								
Set up a monitoring program			Ľ	┙ _{╼╵╼╵╼} ╷╼╷╼╷┍	, J								
Risk assessement/ management				┕┍┍									
Construction of filter					┵┵┵╸ ┡╴┯╶┯╵								
Test phase						╯╾╵╼╵╼ ┍╴┍╴┯╶	┝┯┯╸	┍┯┯╼					Wish to test all seasons
Reporting									┟┙┵╼ ┝╸┍╴┍╸	┍┍┍┛			
										J			
		04	4-09-2	2023			Test p	➡ ohase	Э				



Selected literature

Slow sand filtration of secondary effluent for wastewater reuse: Evaluation of performance and modeling of bacteria removal Kilian M. W. Langenbach INTRODUCTION (tum.de)

SSF Training material from Oregon Drinking Water Services. Oregon Health Authority : Slow Sand Filtration : Surface Water Treatment : State of Oregon

Schmutzdecke- A Filtration Layer of Slow Sand Filter Schmutzdecke- A Filtration Layer of Slow Sand Filter (ijcmas.com)



1st Peer & expert review session: Recommendations & conclusions

- Keep in mind the feedback of your ministry to your pilot measures: It claims that water reuse is no "business case" in Denmark, and that the application of EU Regulation 2020/741 has therefore limited added value in DK. In order to enable water reuse according to the EU Regulation 2020/741 in Denmark (or at least an exception for Bornholm, like it is in place for Samsø), you will have to demonstrate to the ministry that there is a "business case" in water reuse. It is therefore not sufficient to create a low-tech filter & treatment process of high quality. What has to be proven by your pilot measure is that water reuse from WWTP for agricultural irrigation can be cost efficient and a business case for the farmers. Economic calculations & aspects are, therefore, essential parts of your pilot measure and its evaluation.
- Considering this strategic focus of your pilot action (> proving the need, feasibility & cost efficiency of water reuse for agricultural irrigation on Bornholm / in DK), it could be considered to involve further parties & stakeholders into the activities. For example, the Farmers' Association may become a partner in the lobbing towards the ministry, and back-up the view of the single farmer taking part in the pilot, who wants to be a pioneer and to show that there is a business case (economic potential).
- Technical design of the low-tech filter:
- Isolate the slow sand filter to optimize the temperature for microbial degradation. You can dig your filter in the ground (half on ground / half underground). Then you would have a construction that has better prospect to keep the temperature.



sustainable waters
WaterMan

- You could use some insulation material and / or soil to keep the filter warmer. A temperature of more than 5°C is still safe for the Schmutzdecke (biofilm). It still works then, though not as effectively as in case the temperature is higher.
- With your planned concept, there may occur the necessity to remove the Schmutzdecke (biofilm) manually. This may be avoided by installing 2 sand filters that operate interchangeably (one working, other resting). to keep the balance between build up of the Schmutzdecke during operation and degradation of the Schmutzdecke when the filter is not in use. Alternatively, you may also operate the sand filter intermittently – and use the dry periods for removing / degradation of the Schmutzdecke. Some literature says that an intermittent operation is better than continuous. Have also a look into the concept of French-constructed wetlands (but keep in mind that it is a different process / no sand filter).
- Some parts of the process will be out of your control as biological processes are complex. After the planned year of testing & sampling of the water, you may gain more knowledge and insights if you need a disinfection step to stabilise the water quality.

Pilot replication bluprint: <u>Bornholm / DK: Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use</u>





Absorption report

Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use Bornholm's Energy & Utility Co. A/S



07 November 2023

WaterMan – Pilot status

E. Coli results Absorption report



WaterMan Status – 07-11-2023

PRELIMINARY REULTS – E. COLI

Concrete sand to be used for the feasibility study E.Coli 0/8mm sand **Concrete sand** "Concrete sand" 0/8 mm sand Feed (CFU/100ml) (CFU/100ml) (CFU/100ml) Mixes of sand, straw and lime No flow 23-10-2023 142900 100 24-10-2023 19500 5400 500 Schmutzdecke stirred the day before and Δh adjusted Coliforme 0/8mm sand **Concrete sand** (CFU/100ml) Feed (CFU/100ml) (CFU/100ml) 23-10-2023 1000000 4000 No flow 24-10-2023 1000000 289600 26900

BORNHOLMS

FORSYNING

Need to work further on sampling and analysis procedures to get good quality results

PEER & EXPERT REVIEW

- Business case: Economic calculations will be part of the feasibility study
- Involvement of stakeholder Farmers Association to have a stronger voice towards the authorities.
- Construction for better insulation:
- -Half in ground, cover piping with soil.
- -Polypropylene or fiberglass tank for better insulation
- Only one sand filter Intermittent operation. Two in parallel will not be a solution for upscaling.







2nd Peer-review session

Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use Bornholm's Energy & Utility Co. A/S



7 November 2024

WaterMan – Pilot status



WATERMAN BORNHOLM PILOT

- Agenda
 - Status
 - User groups of the recycled water
 - Stakeholders engagement
 - Evaluation methodology



PILOT STATUS - SSF



SSF STATUS – operation & results

Results:

			Α	В	С	D	Fe	ed	Filt	Filtrate	
								Total		Total	
								Coliform		Coliform	
				Water	Weir	dH	E.Coli	s	E.Coli	s	
	Pump C	Effluent	Sand-Top	level-	chamber -	Driving	CFU/100	CFU/100	CFU/100	CFU/100	
Date	value	flow (l/h)	(cm)	Top(cm)	Top (cm)	pressure	ml	ml	ml	ml	
21-10-2024	300	260	95	33	47	14					
22-10-2024	345	285	95	11	47	36					
24-10-2024	345	120	95	11	47	36					
25-10-2024	345	271	95	26	82	56					
28-10-2024	345	225	95	11	82	71	17000	795400	370	10000	
30-10-2024	345	171	95	11	82	71	34000	1000000	972	10000	
31-10-2024	345	225	95	17	82	65					

• Operation:

- Unstable flow (changed piping system)
- Filter overflow
 - Cleaning the sand (harrowing)
- Fast decline of the outflow
- Potential decrease of biological activity during winter



USER GROUPS FOR TECHNICAL WATER





STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Strategy & motivation

Workshop jointly with SYMSITES Q1 2025

- **Objective**: To educate, explore challenges, brainstorm solutions, and promote acceptance of wastewater reuse.
- Focus: Treated wastewater for Agriculture
- **Themes**: Technology, innovation, implementation, regulatory considerations, sustainability, stakeholder roles.
 - **Public Perception & Acceptance**: Strategies to engage the community and enhance awareness.

Potential agenda:

- Introduction and Objectives
- Keynote or Expert Presentation
- Session 1: Technical Aspects of Wastewater Reuse
- Session 2: Regulatory and Policy Frameworks
- Session 3: Stakeholder Engagement & Public Acceptance
- Session 4: Case Study Breakouts small groups activities
- Session 5: Implementation Challenges & Solutions
- Wrap-up and Takeaways





WATER USAGE – EVALUATION METHODS

Multi-step evaluation aproach

- 1 Water Quality Monitoring
- Physical Parameters
- Chemical Analysis
- Microbial Testing
- 2 Agronomic Impact Studies
- Soil Testing
- Crop Health and Yield Assessment
- 3 Irrigation Efficiency Evaluation (future)
- Drip and Surface Irrigation Performance
- Application Consistency Tests (nutrient deficiency areas)
- 4 Long-Term Environmental Monitoring (future)
- Groundwater Testing
- Runoff Analysis
- 5 Economic and Social Impact Assessment (future)
- Economic viability (potential yield improvements or savings from water reuse)
- Conduct surveys or interviews with end-users (farmers) to gauge satisfaction, adoption rates, and any challenges faced in using the treated water

Scenarios: greenhouse with growbeds, or farmland (open for discussion with lead partner)



Thank you

BORNHOLMS ENERGI&FORSYNING



Paulo Silva

WaterMan Project manager

pas@beof.dk, +45 40243932



Torben Jørgensen

WaterMan Co-Project Manager

toj@beof.dk, +45 60262410



Sara Björkqvist

Water quality specialist

sb@beof.dk, +45 50495728



Daniel Sereth Larsen

Project Manager





Anne Mette Glarbo Communications consultant

amg@beof.dk, +45 56900083

2nd Peer & expert review session: Recommendations & conclusions

- Investment, but no real-world testing in the case of Bornholm. The proposed considered extension of water use to greenhouse vegetation is a step into very good direction.
- Results of the planned workshop talk with future potential users should feed into local model strategy.



sustainable waters WaterMan





Status updates

Recycling treated wastewater for agricultural use Bornholm's Energy & Utility Co. A/S



Pilot unit - Slow Sand Filter

Operations

- Reduction in coliforms and nutrients.
- High maintenance due to clogging. Reduced water flow.
- Algae formation, but recently stabilized, perhaps because of a biocide. Water became clear. But still with reduced flow.

Sampling/monitoring program (1X week)

- Sampling coliforms
- Monitoring water level and flow
- Monitoring water temperature
- Further analysis to be made:
 - Medicine & PFAS
 - TSS (inlet and effluent)

Cleaning program

- For a period (1x week) we "scratched" the top layer with a rake and pumped water out, due to clogging. After cleaning, coliforms level increases, so as water flow, but back to normal in 2 days.
- At the moment (12/05/25), we are stressing the system (no cleaning) and conduct tests.
- After further analysis, we intend to replace the sand top layer.





Greenhouse

Plant (spinach) irrigation with water (polished) derived from the WaterMan slow sand filter, and water (nutrient loaded) from the Symsites project. Two separate feeding lines with drip irrigation into capillary boxes, to avoid spilling.

Key Points

- Positive Impacts of Interaction:
 - Enhances **awareness** and **acceptance** of innovative practices among potential users.
 - Provides valuable **exposure to the WaterMan project** among farmers.
- Joint Efforts with Symsites Project:
 - The initiative was established as a **collaborative task** alongside the ongoing Symsites project.













Workshop with potential users

Workshop

- •Agriculture irrigation
- •Water storage/retention
- Stakeholder engagement

Local

strategy

- Potential users
- •Discussion on concerns & requirements
- Future water demand; new crops
- Supply options

Key Points

- Information on possibilities and need for ٠ reuse of treated wastewater
- Promote stakeholder & consumer acceptance for water reuse





Baltic Sea Region Toolbox

• Supplement to BEOFs

wastewater system" and

"Wastewater as a resource".

 Results presentation





Access the "BSR Water Recycling Toolbox" <u>here</u>. <u>https://www.eurobalt.org/waterrecyclingtoolbox/</u>



The "BSR Water Recycling Toolbox" was elaborated as part of the project "WaterMan -Promoting water reuse in the Baltic Sea Region through capacity building at local level", The project is co-financed by the European Union (European Regional Development Fund) and implemented within the Interreg Baltic Sea Region Programme. More information:

eurobalt.org/WaterRecyclingToolbox interreg-baltic.eu/project/waterman

WaterMan promotes a region-specific approach to water recycling, which intends to use the alternation of too much and too little water that has become typical in the Baltic Sea Region to make the local water supply more resilient, and supports municipalities & water companies in adapting their strategies.

The contents of "BSR Water Recycling Toolbox" are the sole responsibility of the authors and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union, the Managing Authority or the Joint Secretariat of the Interreg Baltic Sea Region Programme.

